

Promoting the New Work Disability Prevention Paradigm for Disability Benefits & Workers' Comp Systems

# Introduction to the New Work Disability Prevention Paradigm

"Preventing Needless Work Disability by Helping People Stay Employed"
A blueprint for process improvement from the
American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine (ACOEM)

Jennifer Christian, MD, MPH Chair, The 60 Summits Project

Note: This is not an ACOEM Publication

# **Executive Summary**

The fundamental precept for physicians is "first, do no harm." However, physicians in practice see daily the contrast between well- and poorly-managed health-related employment situations and the harm that results. Identical medical problems end up having very different impacts on people's lives. The differences in impact cannot be explained by the biology alone. Physicians see devastating psychological, medical, social, and economic effects caused by unnecessarily prolonged work disability and loss of employability. They also see wasted human and financial resources and lost productivity. The physicians who developed "*Preventing Needless Work Disability by Helping People Stay Employed*", the ACOEM report summarized below, wanted others to know that much work disability is not required from a strictly medical point of view.

Finding better ways of handling key non-medical aspects of the process that determines if an injured or ill person will stay at work or return to work will improve outcomes. Until now, the distinct nature and importance of the stay at work and return to work process (SAW/RTW) has been overlooked. Improvements to that process will support optimal health and function for more individuals, encourage their continuing contribution to society, help control the growth of disability program costs, and protect the competitive vitality of the North American economy.

The first half of "Preventing Needless Work Disability by Helping People Stay Employed" provides the groundwork for readers to understand the second half. Most importantly, the first half describes the SAW/RTW process, how it works and how it parallels other related processes. The second half discusses factors that lead to needless work disability and what can be done about them. In all, 16 sections with observations and specific recommendations are grouped under 4 general headings:

#### I. ADOPT A DISABILITY PREVENTION MODEL

- 1. Increase Awareness of How Rarely Disability is Medically-Required
- 2. [Instill a Sense of Urgency.\*] Urgency is Required Because Prolonged Time Away from Work is Harmful

# II. ADDRESS BEHAVIORAL AND CIRCUMSTANTIAL REALITIES THAT CREATE OR PROLONG WORK DISABILITY

- 3. Acknowledge and Deal with Normal Human Reactions
- 4. Investigate and Address Social and Workplace Realities
- 5. Find a Way to Effectively Address Psychiatric Conditions
- 6 Reduce Distortion of the Medical Treatment Process by Hidden Financial Agendas

# III. ACKNOWLEDGE THE POWERFUL CONTRIBUTION THAT MOTIVATION MAKES TO OUTCOMES. AND MAKE CHANGES TO IMPROVE INCENTIVE ALIGNMENT

- 7. Pay [or Otherwise Reward\*] Physicians for Disability Prevention Work to Increase Their Professional Commitment to It
- 8. Support Appropriate Patient Advocacy by Getting Treating Physicians Out of a Loyalties Bind
- 9 Increase "Real-Time" Availability of On-the-job Recovery, Transitional Work Programs, and Permanent Job Modifications
- 10. Be Rigorous, Yet Fair in Order to Reduce Minor Abuses and Cynicism
- 11. Devise Better Strategies to Deal with Bad-Faith Behavior

#### IV. INVEST IN SYSTEM AND INFRASTRUCTURE IMPROVEMENTS

- 12. Educate Physicians on "Why" and "How" to Play a Role in Preventing Disability
- 13. Disseminate Medical Evidence Regarding Recovery Benefits of Staying at Work and Being Active
- 14. Simplify/Standardize Information Exchange Methods between Employers/Payers and Medical Offices
- 15. Improve/Standardize Methods and Tools that Provide Data for SAW-RTW Decision-Making
- 16. Increase the Study of and Knowledge about SAW/RTW

A group of 21 physicians<sup>1</sup> originally developed the report because they felt compelled to speak. The insights they had gleaned about the preventable nature of much work disability needed to be shared. Their primary goals were to draw attention to the SAW/RTW process and to shift the way many people think. Their intent was to open a dialogue with all stakeholders in the workers' compensation and non-work-related disability benefits systems: employers, unions, working people, the insurance industry, policymakers, the healthcare industry, lawyers, and healthcare professionals, especially all physicians.

Everyone is invited to use "Preventing Needless Work Disability by Helping People Stay Employed" as a framework for discussion about how to work together towards solutions.

Seven medical specialties are represented in the group that developed the work disability prevention report within ACOEM: emergency medicine, family practice, internal medicine, occupational medicine, orthopedics, physiatry, and psychiatry. Eleven have additional post-graduate degrees. They are in private medical practice, government, academia, heavy industry, as well as workers' compensation and disability insurance companies. They work in Canada and 15 of the United States. The report was developed without any outside financial support.

In order to avoid confusing this document with others, we refer to it as the ACOEM work disability prevention report (WDP report). Originally classified as a "guideline," ACOEM now calls it a "guidance document"<sup>2</sup>.

The full text of ACOEM's WDP report can easily be found and downloaded for free on the 60 Summits Project's homepage at <a href="www.60summits.org">www.60summits.org</a>. It is also available at no charge at <a href="www.acoem.org">www.acoem.org</a>. (Go to Policies & Position Statements and then to Guidance Documents.)

## **Background**

In order to build a more profound awareness among all stakeholders about the ways that collaboration can make the SAW/RTW process work better, please read this introduction as well as the ACOEM work disability prevention (WDP) report in its entirety. Every stakeholder will be more familiar with some parts than others, so you should focus on the portions with which you have less personal experience.

The North American workforce has been aging. The burden of chronic disease in the population and its resulting impact on function has been rising. Episodes of prolonged work disability<sup>3</sup> due to common conditions such as depression and low back pain are becoming more common. As the population is aging, the fraction of the US population now receiving social security disability payments is also rising. Although the incidence of work-related injuries and illnesses has been falling steadily for the last several decades, the length of disability following work-related injury has been climbing, as have the number of medical services and their costs. Paradoxically, employers are paying for more -- and more expensive -- medical services but people are nevertheless losing more time from work attributed to medical reasons.

The fundamental questions the ACOEM WDP report is designed to answer are these:

ACOEM originally used the word "guideline" to describe a variety of different types of documents on several subjects published by ACOEM. This caused some confusion. The short report entitled "Preventing Needless Work Disability by Helping People Stay Employed is not ACOEM's evidence-based practice guidelines.

\_

ACOEM's website offers publications for sale, among them the <u>Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines:</u>
 <u>Evaluation and Management of Common Health Problems and Functional Recovery in Workers, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition.
 These practice guidelines advise treating physicians how to diagnose and select treatments for the most common work-related medical conditions based on ACOEM's assessment of the best currently-available medical evidence. Portions of ACOEM's practice guidelines have been adopted by the State of California as the presumptively correct standard of care for workers compensation since 2004.
</u>

ACOEM's website also has a policies and positions statements section, with a subsection for "guidance
documents" that includes the WDP report along with other short papers that are available to the public at no
charge. The other guidance documents focus on topics such as protecting health care workers from
tuberculosis, use of contact lenses in an industrial environment, and HIV and AIDS in the workplace.

In ACOEM's WDP report, the word "disability" is employed the same way that employers use it in their benefits programs and employment policies, and the same way that insurance laws, regulations, and policies do. In this context, "disabled" means someone who is absent from work or not working at full productive capacity for reasons attributed to a medical condition. Please note that confusion is common regarding the word "disability" since it is sometimes used to describe physical or functional impairments. For example, a person who has an impairment that affects one or more life functions is considered to have a disability under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA). However, people with ADA-qualifying impairments who are working at full productive capacity would NOT be considered disabled according to the WDP report's definition, because they are at work. We prefer the phrase "work disability".

- 1. Why do some people who develop common everyday problems like backache, wrist pain, depression, fatigue, and aging have trouble staying at work or returning to work?
- 2. How can employers and insurers work more effectively with healthcare providers to reduce the disruptive impact of injury, illness and age on people's daily lives and work, and help them remain fully engaged in society as long as possible?

The focus of the WDP report is on the surprisingly large number of people who end up with prolonged or permanent withdrawal from work due to medical conditions that normally would cause only a few days of work absence. Many of those who end up receiving long-term disability benefits of one sort or another have conditions that began as common everyday problems like sprains and strains of the low back, neck, shoulder, knee and wrist, or depression and anxiety. As will be discussed below, prolonged work withdrawal (disability absence) by itself can produce unfortunate consequences, and averting them is an intended outcome of this paper.

On the other hand, many of the people who receive disability benefits have severe illnesses like a major cancer or schizophrenia or have suffered catastrophic injuries such as amputations, blinding, major burns, or spinal cord injuries, or have had major surgery. These people, too, are susceptible to the influences described in this paper, although the effects may be overshadowed by the obvious difficulties of coping with medical problems of this magnitude, and the need to learn skills and methods to deal with any resulting impairments. In these cases, a prolonged period of work absence is often unavoidable. The traditional rehabilitation approach delivered by an array of professionals was designed to meet the needs of these people. The question still arises: what amount of this work disability could be prevented?

The WDP report's developers contend that a considerable amount of the work disability due to common everyday conditions (and an unknown fraction of the work disability that follows more serious conditions) is avoidable, as are its social and economic consequences. They believe that a lot of work disability can be prevented or reduced by finding new ways of handling important non medical factors that are fueling its growth.

Until now, mitigating the impact of illness and injury on everyday life and work – with the goal of preventing needless disability, preserving function, and protecting quality of life – has not been within the traditional purview of medicine. It is time to broaden the scope.

Full implementation of many recommendations will require collaboration among all system participants, but forward progress can and is already being made by committed individuals and companies on their own.

# Overview of the ACOEM Report on Preventing Needless Work Disability

ACOEM's work disability prevention report begins with a brief description of how the SAW/RTW process works by using a simple case example. There are two tables: one that shows how the process can escalate and increase in complexity through a series of iterations due to circumstances; and a second one with examples of different kinds of medical conditions that have very different impacts on function and work over time.

Next the relationship of the SAW/RTW process to four other parallel processes is described. Three are much more well-known and studied; the other has been studied in academia but

largely ignored by disability benefits programs. The failure to distinguish among these separate processes underlies much current system dysfunction. These four other processes are:

- The ill or injured individual's personal adjustment (coping) process.
- The medical care process.
- The benefits administration process.
- The reasonable accommodation process under the ADA.

The second half of the report consists of observations and recommendations about the current status of and potential improvements to the SAW/RTW process in North America today. Sixteen specific recommendations are described in groups under the four general headings. Each of the 16 specific recommendation sections:

- Identifies specific challenges and non-medical factors that now combine to create needless work disability and its negative consequences.
- Recommends ways that many of the issues can be addressed.
- Points out initiatives underway and best practices in preventing needless work disability among working people who are faced with injury or illness.

## **Major Points and Recommendations**

The major points and recommendations made in the WDP report are:

- I. Adopt a disability prevention model.
  - Legislators, regulators, policymakers, and benefits program designers should address the reality that much work disability is preventable, and that successful SAW/RTW requires collaboration among several parties.
  - Shift the focus of the SAW/RTW process away from certifying or evaluating work disability towards preventing it. Unless complete work avoidance is medically-required for healing or for protection of the worker, co-workers or the public, look for ways to prevent or reduce absence from work. Expecting and allowing people to contribute what they can at work and keeping them active as productive members of society is good for them -- and that includes each of us.
  - Instill a sense of urgency to normalize daily routine because prolonged time away from work is often harmful. In only a few weeks, most people make adjustments and adopt a new view of themselves and their situation. Some people begin to think they are permanently disabled regardless of the medical facts. Once that idea is implanted, it is hard to shake.
  - Employers, unions, and insurance carriers should devote more attention and resources to preventing disability by focusing on the "front end" of disability episodes while the window of opportunity to make the most difference is still open. In practice, this means ensuring that the right things happen during the first few days and weeks of work absence. Injured / ill workers should routinely receive the support and services they need to get their daily lives back to normal as soon as possible.
- II. Address behavioral and circumstantial realities that create and prolong work disability.
  - Acknowledge and address people's normal human reactions to illness and injury.
     Life disruption may be significant and hard for some to cope with. Failure to

- acknowledge this distress or offer help breeds trouble. Common courtesy may be all that is needed.
- Rather than ignore them, investigate and address social and workplace realities.
   Scientific research shows that workplace factors like job dissatisfaction or poor job fit have a powerful effect on disability outcomes. Despite reluctance to intervene, some issues can be readily resolved once brought to the surface.
- Reduce distortion of the medical treatment process by hidden financial and legal agendas. A physician who is kept in the dark is not necessarily more independent, and is vulnerable to manipulation.
- Find a way to effectively reduce disability due to psychiatric conditions, whether
  occurring in isolation or in combination with physical ailments. Do so in a manner
  that avoids creating more harm and pouring resources into ineffective physical or
  mental health treatment.
- III. Acknowledge the powerful contribution that motivation makes to outcomes and make changes that improve incentive alignment.
  - Pay or otherwise reward doctors for disability prevention work in order to increase their commitment to it.
  - Support appropriate patient advocacy by getting treating doctors out of a loyalties bind. Stop asking treating doctors to "certify" disability or to set a return to work date.
     Instead ask them about functional ability (unless there is a clear reason why it would be medically-inappropriate for the worker to do all work of any kind.)
  - Increase availability of on-the-job recovery and transitional work programs. Make it faster and easier to arrange permanent job modifications since workers who stay active during recovery have better outcomes. Requirements or incentives for employer participation will be required.
  - Good faith efforts should be required of the patient / employee, the doctor, and the employer to prevent or mitigate disability.
  - o Reduce cynicism and improve customer service to injured and ill employees by being more rigorous, more authentic and helpful, fairer, and kinder.
  - Restore integrity to programs rife with minor abuse. Make people aware how minor benefits abuse breeds still more abuse and cynicism that in turn leads to negative and prejudicial treatment of innocent people.
  - Devise better strategies to deal with bad faith behavior / exploitation / fraud. In particular, provide workers who believe they need help with alternatives to lawyers.
- IV. Invest in system and infrastructure improvements.
  - O Programs are needed that will provide basic training to practicing clinicians on why and how to prevent disability, as well as why and when to disqualify patients from work. This education should encourage physicians and other healthcare professionals to broaden the focus of their care to include disability prevention and to develop clinical skills in this arena.
  - Disseminate the scientific evidence regarding the benefit of staying at work and being active on recovery and preserving function. Doctors, patients and employers all need to know this.

- o Improve information exchange between employers / payers and medical offices.
- Improve and standardize the methods and tools that provide data for SAW/RTW decision-making.
- o Increase the study of and knowledge about the SAW / RTW process. Policymakers, government agencies, labor organizations, employers, insurance carriers, and interested citizens should underwrite efforts to learn more about how the SAW/RTW process works and to understand its outcomes, and should support research to develop methods that prevent disability more often or more effectively.

The basis for each recommendation, along with suggestions for how to implement it and examples of current best practices, is described in the full WDP report. A bibliography of literature references is arranged in groups that correspond to the sixteen specific recommendation sections.

## Note to the Reader

For more discussion of the implications of the SAW/RTW process for the hands-on practice of medicine, please see:

- The 2<sup>nd</sup> edition of ACOEM's *Occupational Medicine Practice Guidelines*, Chapter 5, entitled "Cornerstones of Disability Prevention and Management" (www.acoem.org / Publications / Other ACOEM Publications).
- The American Medical Association's book "A Physician's Guide to Return to Work" edited by Drs. James Talmage and Mark Melhorn, who are among the authors of ACOEM's WDP report. (www.ama-assn.org).
- Webility Corporation's on-line continuing medical education course entitled "Talking About Ability to Work: Basic Disability Prevention for Treating Clinicians" accredited for 3.5 CME hours under a joint sponsorship agreement with ACOEM. The author is Dr. Jennifer Christian who led the development of ACOEM's WDP report (www.webility.md).

See the following page for a list of the report authors and their affiliations at the time the WDP Report was adopted.

# **List of Guideline Authors and Their Affiliations**

#### Lead Author

## Jennifer Christian, MD, MPH

President/Chief Medical Officer Webility Corporation Wayland, MA 01778

#### David Brown, MD

Clarke, Brown Associates Ltd Toronto, Ontario M5H 2W9 Canada

## Alan Colledge, MD

Medical Director Utah State Industrial Com Salt Lake City, UT 84114-6610

#### Constantine Gean MD, MS, MBA

VP/LMD, Chief Medical Officer UnumProvident - GCCC Glendale, CA 91203

#### Elizabeth Genovese, MD, MBA

Medical Director IMX Bala Cynwyd, PA 19004

#### Natalie Hartenbaum, MD, MPH

Chief Medical Officer Occumedix Dresher, PA 19025

#### Michael Jarrard, MD, MPH

Medical Officer, BNSF Railway Fort Worth, TX 76161-0033

#### Michel LaCerte, MD

London, Ontario, Canada

#### Gideon Letz, MD, MPH

Medical Officer State Compensation Ins Fund San Francisco, CA 94103

#### Loren Lewis, MD, MPH

Site Medical Director AdvanceMed Hanford Occupational Health Svcs Richland, WA 99354

# Robert MacBride MD, DOHS (now deceased)

Vice President, Medical Services Prudential Disability Insurance Livingston, NJ 07039

### **Douglas Martin, MD**

St Lukes Occ Health Svcs North Sioux City, SD 57049

#### Michael McGrail, Jr, MD, MPH

Occupational Medicine Residency Dept of Occ Med Regions Hospital St. Paul MN 55101

### J Mark Melhorn, MD

The Hand Center Wichita, KS 67208-4510

#### Stanley Miller, DO, MPH

Group Med Dir - Powertrain General Motors Corporation Pontiac, MI 48340-2925

#### James Ross, MD

Corporate Medical Director Ashland Oil Inc Ashland, KY 41114

#### Marcia Scott, MD

Cambridge, MA 02138

#### Adam Seidner, MD, MPH

National Medical Director Travelers Property/Casualty Hartford, CT 06183

#### James Talmage, MD

Medical Director Occupational Health Center Cookeville, TN 38501

#### William Shaw, MD

Integrated Health Management Denver, CO 80210

### C. Donald Williams, MD

Yakima, WA 98901

# Manuscript Consultant David Siktberg, MBA

Webility Corporation Wayland, MA 01778